

## Exhibit 300: Capital Asset Plan and Business Case Summary

### Part I: Summary Information And Justification (All Capital Assets)

#### Section A: Overview

1. **Date of Submission:** 2011-02-22

2. **Agency:** 007

3. **Bureau:** 57

4. **Name of this Investment:** AF NC3-MEECN Modernization

5. **Unique Project (Investment) Identifier (UPI):** 007-57-05-13-01-6191-00

6. **What kind of investment will this be in FY 2012?:** Planning

- Planning
- Full Acquisition
- Operations and Maintenance
- Mixed Life Cycle
- Multi-Agency Collaboration

7. **What was the first budget year this investment was submitted to OMB?** FY2001 or earlier

8.

- a. **Provide a brief summary of the investment and justification, including a brief description of how this closes in part or in whole an identified agency performance gap, specific accomplishments expected by the budget year and the related benefit to the mission, and the primary beneficiary(ies) of the investment.**

Air Force Nuclear Command Control & Communications (AF NC3) systems provide assured comm between the President & strategic forces in nuclear environments. NC3 systems provide the nuclear community the following capabilities: • Enable assured Command & Control (C2) of Force Application • Provide Force Direction • Provide hardened comm for Emergency Action Message (EAM) delivery • Provide AF Minimum Essential Emergency Comm (MEECN) capabilities • Supports Weapon System C2 comm Information Technology (IT) modernization efforts upgrade ground, airborne and missile comm elements to meet CJCSI 6811.01B Nuclear Command & Control Technical Performance Criteria. The AF NC3-MEECN Modernization Initiative includes modernization-related: • Acquisition Programs • Payments for Programs and Services • Research, Development, Test and Evaluation (RDT&E) Funding • Studies, Improvement and Evaluation Programs Acquisition programs include: 1. Minuteman MEECN Program Upgrade (MMP-U) provides enhanced operator control functions and Advanced Extremely High Frequency (AEHF) capability. This program will complete development & begin production in FY12. 2. Ground Element MEECN System (GEMS) provides Wing Command Posts, and their mobile support teams, survivable Extremely High Frequency/ Advanced Extremely High Frequency (EHF/AEHF) and Very Low Frequency (VLF) to receive and relay EAMs from nuclear C2 nodes. It includes Ultra High Frequency (UHF) line of sight, High Frequency (HF) beyond line of sight, text and voice paging, and audible klaxon devices for aircrews that are on alert. GEMS replaces legacy equipment not meeting the performance criteria outlined in CJCSI 6811.01B. This program will continue development in FY12. This program is over 50% of the MEECN funds. 3. NC3 Long Term Solution (LTS) payments – The AF is responsible for 2/3 funding to the Navy LTS Program Management Office (PMO). LTS provides the follow-on program for NC3 Hybrid Solution and will provide an Internet Protocol (DISN/DIN) based network solution. this program will continue development in FY12. Improvement Programs include: • MEECN System Improvement (MSI) program – provides ability to monitor, evaluate and modernize the entire MEECN enterprise. Once modernization efforts became operational, the capability moves to the AF NC3-MEECN Operations and Sustainment Initiative. .

- b. **Provide any links to relevant websites that would be useful to gain additional information on the**

investment including links to GAO and IG reports.

Title	Link
NONE	

9.

a. **Provide the date of the Agency's Executive/Investment Committee approval of this investment.**

2009-09-18

b. **Provide the date of the most recent or planned approved project charter.** 2004-07-31

10. **Contact information?**

a. **Program/Project Manager Name:** \*

**Phone Number:** \*

**Email:** \*

b. **Business Function Owner Name (i.e. Executive Agent or Investment Owner):** Lt Col Leslie

Himebrook

**Phone Number:** \*

**Email:** \*

11. **What project management qualifications does the Project Manager have? (choose only one per FAC-P/PM or DAWIA):** Project manager has been validated according to FAC-P/PM or DAWIA criteria as qualified for this investment.

- Project manager has been validated according to FAC-P/PM or DAWIA criteria as qualified for this investment.
- Project manager qualifications according to FAC-P/PM or DAWIA criteria is under review for this investment.
- Project manager assigned to investment, but does not meet requirements according to FAC-P/PM or DAWIA criteria.
- Project manager assigned but qualification status review has not yet started.
- No project manager has yet been assigned to this investment.

## Section B: Summary of Funding (Budget Authority for Capital Assets)

1.

Table I.B.1: Summary of Funding

(In millions of dollars)

(Estimates for BY+1 and beyond are for planning purposes only and do not represent budget decisions)

	PY-1 and earlier	PY 2010	CY 2011 (CY Continuing Resolution)	BY 2012	BY+1 2013	BY+2 2014	BY+3 2015	BY+4 and beyond	Total
Planning:	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Acquisition:	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Planning & Acquisition Government FTE Costs	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Subtotal Planning & Acquisition(DME):	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Operations & Maintenance:	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Disposition Costs (optional):	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Operations, Maintenance, Disposition Government FTE Costs	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Subtotal O&M and Disposition Costs (SS):	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
TOTAL FTE Costs	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
TOTAL (not including FTE costs):	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
TOTAL (including FTE costs):	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Number of FTE represented by	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

**Table I.B.1: Summary of Funding**  
**(In millions of dollars)**

(Estimates for BY+1 and beyond are for planning purposes only and do not represent budget decisions)

	PY-1 and earlier	PY 2010	CY 2011 (CY Continuing Resolution)	BY 2012	BY+1 2013	BY+2 2014	BY+3 2015	BY+4 and beyond	Total
Costs:									

2. Insert the number of years covered in the column “PY-1 and earlier”: 5

3. Insert the number of years covered in the column “BY+4 and beyond”: \*

4. If the summary of funding has changed from the FY 2011 President’s Budget request, briefly explain those changes:

\*

## Section C: Acquisition/Contract Strategy (All Capital Assets)

1.

Table I.C.1 Contracts Table

Contract Status	Contracting Agency ID	Procurement Instrument Identifier (PIID)	Indefinite Delivery Vehicle (IDV) Reference ID	Solicitation ID	Alternative financing	EVM Required	Ultimate Contract Value (M)	Type of Contract/Task Order (Pricing)	Is the contract a Performance Based Service Acquisition (PBSA)?	Effective date	Actual or expected End Date of Contract/Task Order	Extent Competed	Short description of acquisition
Awarded		<a href="#">0001</a>	FA872605D0003		*	*	\$299,020,000.0	Cost Plus Award Fee	X	2005-06-23	2012-06-30	Y	200509!0001 70!5700!FA8 726!ESC/NIK !FA872605D 0003 !A!N! !N!0001 ! !20050623!2 0100228!060 605883!9629 60589!96296 0589!N!ROC KWELL COLLINS, INC !400 COLLINS RD NE !CEDAR RAPIDS !!A!52498!12 000!113!19!C edar Rapids !Linn !Iowa !+000009900 000!N!N!000 000000000!5 895!Miscella neous Communicati on

Table I.C.1 Contracts Table

Contract Status	Contracting Agency ID	Procurement Instrument Identifier (PIID)	Indefinite Delivery Vehicle (IDV) Reference ID	Solicitation ID	Alternative financing	EVM Required	Ultimate Contract Value (M)	Type of Contract/Task Order (Pricing)	Is the contract a Performance Based Service Acquisition (PBSA)?	Effective date	Actual or expected End Date of Contract/Task Order	Extent Completed	Short description of acquisition
-----------------	-----------------------	--	--	-----------------	-----------------------	--------------	-----------------------------	---------------------------------------	---	----------------	--	------------------	----------------------------------

Equipment  
IA7

Awarded		<a href="#">FA872608C0004</a>		-	*	*	\$37,000,000.0	-	-	-	2014-09-30	-	-
				Solicitation ID	Type of Contract/Task Order (Pricing)	PBSA		Effective date		Extent Completed		Short description of acquisition	
				Cost Plus Award Fee		N		2008-01-15		Y		MMP Upgrade "SDD Phase"	
					Cost No Fee	N		2008-01-15		Y		MMP Upgrade "SDD Phase"	
				Time and Materials		N		2008-01-15		Y		MMP Upgrade "SDD Phase"	
					Cost No Fee	N		2008-01-15		Y		MMP Upgrade "SDD Phase"	

2. If earned value is not required or will not be a contract requirement for any of the contracts or task orders above, explain why:

\*

3.

a. Has an Acquisition Plan been developed? If yes, please answer the questions that follow \*

b. Does the Acquisition Plan reflect the requirements of FAR Subpart 7.1 \*

c. Was the Acquisition Plan approved in accordance with agency requirements \*

d.If "yes," enter the date of approval? \*

e.Is the acquisition plan consistent with your agency Strategic Sustainability Performance Plan? \*

f.Does the acquisition plan meet the requirements of EOs 13423 and 13514? \*

g.If an Acquisition Plan has not been developed, provide a brief explanation.

\*

## Part II: IT Capital Investments

### Section A: General

1.
  - a. Confirm that the IT Program/Project manager has the following competencies: configuration management, data management, information management, information resources strategy and planning, information systems/network security, IT architecture, IT performance assessment, infrastructure design, systems integration, systems life cycle, technology awareness, and capital planning and investment control. *yes*
  - b. If not, confirm that the PM has a development plan to achieve competencies either by direct experience or education. *yes*
2. Describe the progress of evaluating cloud computing alternatives for service delivery to support this investment. *n/a*
3. Provide the date of the most recent or planned Quality Assurance Plan *2010-04-10*
4.
  - a. Provide the UPI of all other investments that have a significant dependency on the successful implementation of this investment.
  - b. If this investment is significantly dependent on the successful implementation of another investment(s), please provide the UPI(s).
5. An Alternatives Analysis must be conducted for all Major Investments with Planning and Acquisition (DME) activities and evaluate the costs and benefits of at least three alternatives and the status quo. The details of the analysis must be available to OMB upon request. Provide the date of the most recent or planned alternatives analysis for this investment. *2004-07-15*
6. Risks must be actively managed throughout the lifecycle of the investment. The Risk Management Plan and risk register must be available to OMB upon request. Provide the date that the risk register was last updated. *2009-03-03*



## Section B: Cost and Schedule Performance

Table II.B.1. Comparison of Actual Work Completed and Actual Costs to Current Approved Baseline:

Description of Activity	DME or SS	Agency EA Transition Plan Milestone Identifier	Planned Cost (\$M)	Actual Cost (\$M)	Planned Start Date	Actual Start Date	Planned Completion Date	Actual Completion Date	Planned Percent Complete	Actual Percent Complete
GEMS Milestone A: Defined requirements for an integrated Aircrew alerting system and Comm equipment to receive EAMs and other message traffic for controlling nuclear forces.		*	\$0.5	\$0.5	2004-07-31	2004-07-31	2004-07-31	2004-07-31	100.00%	100.00%
GEMS Milestone B: Conducted Concept Technology Demonstration (CTD) contract. Developed acquisition strategy. Milestone met when System Design and Demonstration contract awarded.		*	\$12.0	\$12.0	2004-09-30	2004-09-30	2004-09-30	2005-06-23	100.00%	100.00%
GEMS Milestone C: Develop system to provide integrated survivable Aircrew Alerting System and comm equipment to receive EAMs and other message traffic for controlling		*	\$323.5	\$249.0	2005-06-23	2005-06-23	2012-08-01		77.00%	77.00%

Table II.B.1. Comparison of Actual Work Completed and Actual Costs to Current Approved Baseline:

Description of Activity	DME or SS	Agency EA Transition Plan Milestone Identifier	Planned Cost (\$M)	Actual Cost (\$M)	Planned Start Date	Actual Start Date	Planned Completion Date	Actual Completion Date	Planned Percent Complete	Actual Percent Complete
nuclear forces. Milestone is reached as system development and testing completed.										
GEMS FOC: Includes installation of all fixed site systems, delivery of transportable systems, initial training and Interim Contractor Support for maintenance. Milestone reached when last system is delivered and depot is established		*	*	*	2015-09-30	*	2015-09-30	*	*	*
MMP Upgrade Milestone A: Define mission requirements for an Advanced Extremely High Frequency comm system for missile launch facilities. Milestone complete when ORD signed.		*	\$2.5	\$2.5	2006-03-30	2006-03-30	2006-03-30	2006-03-30	100.00%	100.00%
MMP Upgrade Milestone B: Tech Development risk		*	\$12.0	\$12.0	2008-01-30	2008-01-30	2008-01-30	2008-01-30	100.00%	100.00%

Table II.B.1. Comparison of Actual Work Completed and Actual Costs to Current Approved Baseline:

Description of Activity	DME or SS	Agency EA Transition Plan Milestone Identifier	Planned Cost (\$M)	Actual Cost (\$M)	Planned Start Date	Actual Start Date	Planned Completion Date	Actual Completion Date	Planned Percent Complete	Actual Percent Complete
reduction for upgrading Launch facilities to AEHF. Milestone complete when System Design and Demonstration contract awarded. Includes CTD contract and completed when SDD contract awarded										
MMP Upgrade Milestone C: Design and demonstrate an Advanced Extremely High Frequency Comm System to receive EAMs and other message traffic for Nuclear Command and Control. Milestone is reached when system development and testing completed.		*	\$95.0	\$59.8	2008-01-30	2008-01-30	2011-04-01		63.00%	63.00%
MMP FOC: Includes installation of all fixed site systems, delivery of transportable systems, initial		*	*	*	2013-11-30	*	2013-11-30	*	*	*

Table II.B.1. Comparison of Actual Work Completed and Actual Costs to Current Approved Baseline:

Description of Activity	DME or SS	Agency EA Transition Plan Milestone Identifier	Planned Cost (\$M)	Actual Cost (\$M)	Planned Start Date	Actual Start Date	Planned Completion Date	Actual Completion Date	Planned Percent Complete	Actual Percent Complete
training and Interim Contractor Support for maintenance. Milestone reached when last system is delivered and depot is established.										

2. If the investment cost, schedule, or performance variances are not within 10 percent of the current baseline, provide a complete analysis of the reasons for the variances, the corrective actions to be taken, and the most likely estimate at completion. All are within 10%.

3. For mixed lifecycle or operations and maintenance investments an Operational Analysis must be performed annually. Operational analysis may identify the need to redesign or modify an asset by identifying previously undetected faults in design, construction, or installation/integration, highlighting whether actual operation and maintenance costs vary significantly from budgeted costs, or documenting that the asset is failing to meet program requirements. The details of the analysis must be available to OMB upon request. Insert the date of the most recent or planned operational analysis.

4. Did the Operational analysis cover all 4 areas of analysis: Customer Results, Strategic and Business Results, Financial Performance, and Innovation?

Section C: Financial Management Systems

Table II.C.1: Financial Management Systems			
System(s) Name	System acronym	Type of Financial System	BY Funding
*	*	*	*

Section D: Multi-Agency Collaboration Oversight (For Multi-Agency Collaborations only)

Table II.D.1. Customer Table:	
Customer Agency	Joint exhibit approval date
NONE	

Table II.D.2. Shared Service Providers		
Shared Service Provider (Agency)	Shared Service Asset Title	Shared Service Provider Exhibit 53 UPI (BY 2011)
*	*	*

Table II.D.3. For IT Investments, Partner Funding Strategies (\$millions):							
Partner Agency	Partner exhibit 53 UPI (BY 2012)	CY Monetary Contribution	CY “In-Kind” Contribution	CY Fee-for-Service	BY Monetary Contribution	BY “In-Kind” Contribution	BY Fee-for-Service
NONE							

Table II.D.4. Legacy Systems Being Replaced		
Name of the Legacy Investment of Systems	Current UPI	Date of the System Retirement
*	*	*

## Section E: Performance Information

Table I.E.1a. Performance Metric Attributes

Measurement Area (For IT Assets)	Measurement Grouping (For IT Assets)	Measurement Indicator	Reporting Frequency	Unit of Measure	Performance Measure Direction	Baseline	Year Baseline Established for this measure (Origination Date)
Mission and Business Results	Customer Service	Enter Indicator	monthly	TBD	TBD	TBD	2010-09-01
			Fiscal Year	Target	Actual Results	Target "Met" or "Not Met"	Last Updated
			2014	Enter Planned Improvements	TBD	Met	2010-09-20
Processes and Activities	Technology Improvement	Enter Indicator	monthly	TBD	TBD	TBD	2010-09-01
			Fiscal Year	Target	Actual Results	Target "Met" or "Not Met"	Last Updated
			2014	Enter Planned Improvements	TBD	Met	2010-09-20
Mission and Business Results	Customer Service	Enter Indicator	monthly	TBD	TBD	TBD	2010-09-01
			Fiscal Year	Target	Actual Results	Target "Met" or "Not Met"	Last Updated
			2014	Enter Planned Improvements	TBD	Met	2010-09-20
Processes and Activities	Technology Improvement	Enter Indicator	monthly	TBD	TBD	TBD	2010-09-01
			Fiscal Year	Target	Actual Results	Target "Met" or "Not Met"	Last Updated
			2014	Enter Planned Improvements	TBD	Met	2010-09-20
Mission and Business	Customer Service	Enter Indicator	monthly	TBD	TBD	TBD	2010-09-01

Results							
			Fiscal Year	Target	Actual Results	Target "Met" or "Not Met"	Last Updated
			2015	Enter Planned Improvements	TBD	Met	2010-09-20
Processes and Activities	Technology Improvement	Enter Indicator	monthly	TBD	TBD	TBD	2010-09-01
			Fiscal Year	Target	Actual Results	Target "Met" or "Not Met"	Last Updated
			2015	Enter Planned Improvements	TBD	Met	2010-09-20
Mission and Business Results	Customer Service	Enter Indicator	monthly	TBD	TBD	TBD	2010-09-01
			Fiscal Year	Target	Actual Results	Target "Met" or "Not Met"	Last Updated
			2015	Enter Planned Improvements	TBD	Met	2010-09-20
Processes and Activities	Technology Improvement	Enter Indicator	monthly	TBD	TBD	TBD	2010-09-01
			Fiscal Year	Target	Actual Results	Target "Met" or "Not Met"	Last Updated
			2015	Enter Planned Improvements	TBD	Met	2010-09-20
Customer Results	Access	Percentage of facilities with dual connectivity. Goal 100% in FY13	monthly	TBD	TBD	TBD	2010-09-01
			Fiscal Year	Target	Actual Results	Target "Met" or "Not Met"	Last Updated
			2009	31% of facilities with dual connectivity.	31%. The baseline, target, and actual performance results depict the early nature of program maturity. First	Met	2010-09-20



install is not until 2012.

Customer Results	Access	Percentage of facilities with dual connectivity. Goal 100% in FY13	monthly	TBD	TBD	TBD	2010-09-01
			Fiscal Year	Target	Actual Results	Target "Met" or "Not Met"	Last Updated
			2010	31% of facilities with dual connectivity.	31%. The baseline, target, and actual performance results depict the early nature of program maturity. First install is not until 2012.	Met	2010-09-20
Customer Results	Access	Percentage of facilities with dual connectivity. Goal 100% in FY13	monthly	TBD	TBD	TBD	2010-09-01
			Fiscal Year	Target	Actual Results	Target "Met" or "Not Met"	Last Updated
			2011	31% of facilities with dual connectivity.	31%. The baseline, target, and actual performance results depict the early nature of program maturity. First install is not until 2012.	Met	2010-09-20
Customer Results	Access	Percentage of facilities with dual connectivity. Goal 100% in FY15	monthly	TBD	TBD	TBD	2010-09-01
			Fiscal Year	Target	Actual Results	Target "Met" or "Not Met"	Last Updated
			2012	31% of facilities with dual connectivity.	TBD	Met	2010-09-20
Customer Results	Access	Percentage of facilities with dual connectivity. Goal 100% in FY15	monthly	TBD	TBD	TBD	2010-09-01
			Fiscal Year	Target	Actual Results	Target "Met" or "Not Met"	Last Updated
			2013	31% of facilities with dual connectivity.	TBD	Met	2010-09-20

Mission and Business Results	Strategic National and Theater Defense	Percentage of facilities with survivable communications. Goal 100% in FY13	monthly	TBD	TBD	TBD	2010-09-01
			Fiscal Year	Target	Actual Results	Target "Met" or "Not Met"	Last Updated
			2011	31% of facilities with survivable communications.	31%. The baseline, target, and actual performance results depict the early nature of program maturity. First install is not until 2012.	Met	2010-09-20
Mission and Business Results	Strategic National and Theater Defense	Percentage of facilities with survivable communications. Goal 100% in FY13Enter measurment Indicator	monthly	TBD	TBD	TBD	2010-09-01
			Fiscal Year	Target	Actual Results	Target "Met" or "Not Met"	Last Updated
			2009	31% of facilities with survivable communications.	31%. The baseline, target, and actual performance results depict the early nature of program maturity. First install is not until 2012.	Met	2010-09-20
Mission and Business Results	Strategic National and Theater Defense	Percentage of facilities with survivable communications. Goal 100% in FY13Enter measurment Indicator	monthly	TBD	TBD	TBD	2010-09-01
			Fiscal Year	Target	Actual Results	Target "Met" or "Not Met"	Last Updated
			2010	31% of facilities with survivable communications.	31%. The baseline, target, and actual performance results depict the early nature of program maturity. First	Met	2010-09-20

Mission and Business Results	Strategic National and Theater Defense	Percentage of facilities with survivable communications. Goal 100% in FY15	install is not until 2012.				
			monthly	TBD	TBD	TBD	2010-09-01
			Fiscal Year	Target	Actual Results	Target "Met" or "Not Met"	Last Updated
			2012	31% of facilities with survivable communications.	TBD	Met	2010-09-20
Mission and Business Results	Strategic National and Theater Defense	Percentage of facilities with survivable communications. Goal 100% in FY15	monthly	TBD	TBD	TBD	2010-09-01
			Fiscal Year	Target	Actual Results	Target "Met" or "Not Met"	Last Updated
			2013	31% of facilities with survivable communications.	TBD	Met	2010-09-20
Processes and Activities	Efficiency	Percentage of facilities with hardened aircrew alerting communication. Goal 100% in FY13	monthly	TBD	TBD	TBD	2010-09-01
			Fiscal Year	Target	Actual Results	Target "Met" or "Not Met"	Last Updated
			2009	3% of facilities with hardened aircrew alerting communication.	3%. The baseline, target, and actual performance results depict the early nature of program maturity. First install is not until 2012.	Met	2010-09-20
Processes and Activities	Efficiency	Percentage of facilities with hardened aircrew alerting communication. Goal 100% in FY13	monthly	TBD	TBD	TBD	2010-09-01
			Fiscal Year	Target	Actual Results	Target "Met" or "Not Met"	Last Updated

			2010	3% of facilities with hardened aircrew alerting communication.	3%. The baseline, target, and actual performance results depict the early nature of program maturity. First install is not until 2012.	Met	2010-09-20
Processes and Activities	Efficiency	Percentage of facilities with hardened aircrew alerting communication. Goal 100% in FY13	monthly	TBD	TBD	TBD	2010-09-01
			Fiscal Year	Target	Actual Results	Target "Met" or "Not Met"	Last Updated
			2011	3% of facilities with hardened aircrew alerting communication.	3%. The baseline, target, and actual performance results depict the early nature of program maturity. First install is not until 2012.	Met	2010-09-20
Processes and Activities	Efficiency	Percentage of facilities with hardened aircrew alerting communication. Goal 100% in FY15	monthly	TBD	TBD	TBD	2010-09-01
			Fiscal Year	Target	Actual Results	Target "Met" or "Not Met"	Last Updated
			2012	3% of facilities with hardened aircrew alerting communication.	TBD	Met	2010-09-20
Processes and Activities	Efficiency	Percentage of facilities with hardened aircrew alerting communication. Goal 100% in FY15	monthly	TBD	TBD	TBD	2010-09-01
			Fiscal Year	Target	Actual Results	Target "Met" or "Not Met"	Last Updated
			2013	3% of facilities with hardened aircrew alerting communication.	TBD	Met	2010-09-20
Technology	Functionality	Percentage of MEECN systems with software compliant architecture	monthly	TBD	TBD	TBD	2010-09-01

		(SCA). Goal 100% in FY13					
			Fiscal Year	Target	Actual Results	Target "Met" or "Not Met"	Last Updated
			2009	0% of MEECN systems with software compliant architecture (SCA).	0%. The baseline, target, and actual performance results depict the early nature of program maturity. First install is not until 2012.	Met	2010-09-20
Technology	Functionality	Percentage of MEECN systems with software compliant architecture (SCA). Goal 100% in FY13	monthly	TBD	TBD	TBD	2010-09-01
			Fiscal Year	Target	Actual Results	Target "Met" or "Not Met"	Last Updated
			2010	0% of MEECN systems with software compliant architecture (SCA).	0%. The baseline, target, and actual performance results depict the early nature of program maturity. First install is not until 2012.	Met	2010-09-20
Technology	Functionality	Percentage of MEECN systems with software compliant architecture (SCA). Goal 100% in FY13	monthly	TBD	TBD	TBD	2010-09-01
			Fiscal Year	Target	Actual Results	Target "Met" or "Not Met"	Last Updated
			2011	0% of MEECN systems with software compliant architecture (SCA).	0%. The baseline, target, and actual performance results depict the early nature of program maturity. First install is not until 2012.	Met	2010-09-20
Technology	Functionality	Percentage of MEECN systems with software compliant architecture (SCA). Goal 100% in	monthly	TBD	TBD	TBD	2010-09-01

FY15

			Fiscal Year	Target	Actual Results	Target "Met" or "Not Met"	Last Updated
			2012	0% of MEECN systems with software compliant architecture (SCA).	TBD	Met	2010-09-20
Technology	Functionality	Percentage of MEECN systems with software compliant architecture (SCA). Goal 100% in FY15	monthly	TBD	TBD	TBD	2010-09-01
			Fiscal Year	Target	Actual Results	Target "Met" or "Not Met"	Last Updated
			2013	0% of MEECN systems with software compliant architecture (SCA).	TBD	Met	2010-09-20

\* - Indicates data is redacted.